Egypt as a Failing State: Implications for US National Security

Ruth M. Beitler and Cindy R. Jebb

INSS Occasional Paper 51

July 2003

USAF Institute for National Security Studies USAF Academy, Colorado



The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Air Force, the Department of the Army, the Department of Defense, or the US Government. The paper is approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Comments pertaining to this paper are invited; please forward to:

Director, USAF Institute for National Security Studies HQ USAFA/DFES 2354 Fairchild Drive, Suite 5L27

USAF Academy, CO 80840 phone: 719-333-2717

fax: 719-333-2716 email: inss@usafa.af.mil

Visit the Institute for National Security Studies home page at http://www.usafa.af.mil/inss

TABLE OF CONTENTS

V11
ix
1
3 7
10 17
20 21 23
32 32 41
56
57
71



V

FOREWORD

We are pleased to publish this fifty-first volume in the Occasional Paper series of the United States Air Force Institute for National Security Studies (INSS). This paper is recommended both for its conceptual applicability in today's international security environment and for its specific policy analysis of the United States relationship with the Middle East and Egypt. In general terms, Ruth Beitler and Cindy Jebb present a pointed review of democratization theory and practice that is most timely given recent and ongoing United States experiences in the Balkans and in Iraq. They extend that analysis to address the dangers and realities of state failures—partial or complete—as these fuel the cycle of development of violent non-state actors. And most significantly, they apply that combined analysis to the critical realities of today's Middle East, and specifically to the linchpin state of Egypt. Also of note, they address United States policy as a central element of this calculus, examining its multiple levels of effects. Thus, the paper has wide applicability to United States national security policy and outcomes both toward its central target of focus and well beyond into the region and the world today. We commend this research effort as well as the specific paper, and we endorse further inquiry into this emerging realm of security policy and practice particularly as US military forces find themselves serving as the "pointed end" of its "spear."

About the Institute

INSS is primarily sponsored by the National Security Policy Division, Nuclear and Counterproliferation Directorate, Headquarters US Air Force (HQ USAF/XONP) and the Dean of the Faculty, USAF Academy. Other sponsors include the Secretary of Defense's Office of Net Assessment (OSD/NA); the Defense Threat Reduction Agency; the Air Staff's Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Directorate (XOI) and the Air Force's 39th Information Operations Squadrons; the Army Environmental Policy Institute; and the Air Force Long-Range Plans Directorate (XPXP). The research leading to the papers in this volume was sponsored by OSD/NA, DTRA, and XONP. The mission of the Institute is "to promote national security research for the Department of Defense within the military academic community, to foster the development of strategic perspective within the United States Armed Forces, and to support national security discourse through outreach and

education." Its research focuses on the areas of greatest interest to our organizational sponsors: arms control and strategic security; counterproliferation, force protection, and homeland security; air and space issues and planning; information operations and information warfare; and regional and emerging national security issues.

INSS coordinates and focuses outside thinking in various disciplines and across the military services to develop new ideas for defense policy making. To that end, the Institute develops topics, selects researchers from within the military academic community, and administers sponsored research. It also hosts conferences and workshops and facilitates the dissemination of information to a wide range of private and government organizations. INSS provides valuable, cost-effective research to meet the needs of our sponsors. We appreciate your continued interest in INSS and our research products.

JAMES M. SMITH Director

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Short-term solutions to more profound, long-term problems are not sufficient to safeguard United States interests in the Middle East. This paper challenges the current US policy towards Egypt and its underlying assumption that regime stability supercedes a US interest in true political development. The key question in this paper queries why the status quo policy towards Egypt is no longer fulfilling US objectives when it has been a successful pillar for US Middle East policy in the past. In the wake of terrorist attacks in the United States on September 11, 2001 leading to the US war on terror, along with the continued violence between the Palestinians and Israelis, the potential for acute political violence within Egypt is high. This study presents two scenario-driven US policy options and recommends a realpolitik view of democratization for Egypt. The United States can no longer afford to be timid about the power of democracy. For the United States, pushing for political systems that are accountable to their populations should not be viewed in an idealistic, normative sense, but rather in a strategic context. This paper contends that democracy is a security imperative for the post-9/11 world.

